

**SCRUTINY COMMENTS ON DRAFT MODIFICATION IN APPROVED MINING PLAN IN RESPECT OF RAJANKA LIMESTONE MINE (598.88 ha.) IN VILLAGE RAJANKA, DISTRICT WEST SINGHBHUM, STATE JHARKHAND OF M/S A.C.C. LTD. SUBMITTED UNDER RULE-17(3) OF MCR, 2016.**

**A. TEXT:**

1. Page 1- i. Para 1.0(a): The name of nominated owner has been given Mr. Harish Badami, but in Annexure VIII, name of Mr. Neeraj Akhaoury has been given as “Owner” under the Mine Act 1952. May be reconciled.

**PART- A:**

2. Page 1-X 1vi, Estimated mineral reserves & reserves as per UNFC in Table 1.11 as on which date has not been mentioned.

3. Page 1 –XI Viii: Estimated mineral reserves & reserves as per UNFC in Table 1.11 as on which date has not been given. Also the area for Reserve under G1, G2 and G3 category have not been given.

4. Page1 – 1: Estimation of life of the mine case 1- Table 1.13 and Case 2- Table 1.14: The mineable reserve and proposed production of ROM have been given in Million Tons but in Table 1.11 & 1.12 the reserve has been given in lakh tons. May be reconciled. Also, the categories of reserves mentioned in the table, blocks should be mentioned.

5. Page 2- XI iii, Part A, Para 2.0A(g): Disposal of Waste: It has wrongly been stated that the backfilling shall be in the southern side of the exhausted portion of new pit. May be reconciled.

6. Page 2: XXXi: Yearwise i.e. from 2017-18 (Dec’17 to Mar’18), 2018-19, 1019-20, Insitu Tentative Excavation as per format has not been given.

7. Page 2- XXXV, Table 2.12 & Page 2-XXXii, Details of Backfilling in F block during the scheme period: - The backfilling proposal of 2015-16, 2016-17 as proposed have been carried out or not have not been discussed. Only backfilling proposal of 2017-18 to 2019-20 have been given.

8. Page 3-vi, Para 3.3c(ii) Actual exploitation work, Para 3.3 c(iii) cause of deviation from the proposed programme & Part A, page 2-v Table 2.1: The actual production from 2015-16 to 2016-17(upto Nov’17) is given as 2429483 MT in para 3.3 c(ii) & Table 2.1 of Part A, page 2-v, but in para 3.3c(iii) it has been given as 2499141 MT. Also total production figure from 2017-18 (upto Nov’17) in the table 2.1 of part A, page 2-v and para 3.3 c(ii) is not matching while adding the HG, LG & Grey shaly limestone figure. May be reconciled.

9. Page 8-X1 iii: In the table for calculation of Financial Assurance, under item no. 13, others in water reservoir: Without any additional requirement how 18 ha area becomes 34.88 ha is not clear.

10. Page 8- xxx ii to 8-xxxiv, para 8.3.5: Summary of yearwise proposal for item no. 8.3(2017-18), (2018-19), (2019-20)

a) For item Reclamation and Rehabilitation by backfilling void filled by waste/tailling the expenditure for waste and tailings have not been given.

**B: PLATES**

1. CCOM's circular No. 2/2010 has not been complied for other 21 blocks except 'F' block.
2. DGPS map, Plate no.3: In DGPS map of F- block, the boundary pillar number of P- series are not in clockwise direction.
3. Environment Plan (Plate no. 10 I): a) Road going to Chaibasa has not been shown prominently using colours.  
b) Pumping station at New pit and the course of discharge of mine water has not been shown.  
c) Tailing dam on the north eastern side of ANFO shed has not been shown.
4. Environment Management Plan: Plate no. 11F: Some plantation proposed for 2019-20 has been shown in the backfilled area earmarked for 2018-19. It may be corrected.
5. Bench slice plan have not been enclosed.

**C. Annexures:**

1. Annexure VI: Address proof of the nominated owner has not been given.
2. Annexure Xiii: Whether ANACON Laboratories Pvt. Ltd is NABL approved laboratory or not may be stated. If yes, the copy of validity certificate from NABL may be enclosed. If not then Analysis report from NABL approved laboratory may be enclosed.

====\*\*\*====